


CHAPTER 8

PORTFOLIO THEORY AND THE CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL



Topics Covered

- Harry Markowitz and the Birth of Portfolio Theory
- The Relationship between Risk and Return
- Validity and the Role of the CAPM
- Some Alternative Theories


Markowitz Portfolio Theory

- Combining stocks into portfolios can reduce standard deviation, below the level obtained from a simple weighted average calculation
- Correlation coefficients make this possible
- The various weighted combinations of stocks that create this standard deviations constitute the set of **efficient portfolios**

Markowitz Portfolio Theory


Price changes vs. Normal distribution

IBM - Daily % change 1994-2013




Markowitz Portfolio Theory

Standard Deviation vs. Expected Return



Markowitz Portfolio Theory


Standard Deviation vs. Expected Return



Markowitz Portfolio Theory

8-7


Standard Deviation vs. Expected Return



Markowitz Portfolio Theory

8-8

Expected returns and standard deviations vary given different weighted combinations of the stocks





Efficient Frontier


8-9

Three Efficient Portfolios—Percentages Allocated to Each Stock (%)

	Expected Return (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	A	B	C
Caterpillar	17.6	29.2	0	0	
Microsoft	12.5	20.7	13	11	
Consolidated Edison	8.3	13.8	27	22	
Newmont	18.1	30.0	11	18	
Apple	15.3	25.2	4	8	
Johnson & Johnson	8.0	13.2	10	0	
Campbell Soup	1.0	16.6	15	17	
Walmart	9.3	15.3	17	10	
Ford	18.8	31.0	2	9	100
Dow Chemical	16.7	27.5	3	5	
Expected portfolio return			10.61	12.84	18.8
Portfolio standard deviation			9.14	10.35	31.0







Efficient Frontier

8-13

Example Correlation coefficient = .19

Stocks	σ	% of Portfolio	Avg Return
JNJ	13.2	60%	15%
Ford	31.0	40%	21%

Standard deviation = weighted avg = 20.3%
 Standard deviation = portfolio = 15.9 %
 Return = weighted avg = portfolio = 12.3%

Efficient Frontier

8-14

Example Correlation coefficient = .4

Stocks	σ	% of Portfolio	Avg Return
ABC Corp	28	60%	15%
Big Corp	42	40%	21%

Standard deviation = weighted avg = 33.6%
 Standard deviation = portfolio = 28.1 %
 Return = weighted avg = portfolio = 17.4%

Additive standard deviation (common sense):
 = .28 (60%) + .42 (40%) = 33.6% WRONG

Real standard deviation:

$$= \sqrt{x_1^2\sigma_1^2 + x_2^2\sigma_2^2 + 2(x_1x_2\rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2)}$$

$$= \sqrt{60^2 \cdot 28^2 + 40^2 \cdot 42^2 + 2(60)(40)(.4)(28)(42)}$$
 = .281 or 28.1% CORRECT

Efficient Frontier


8-15


Previous Example Correlation coefficient = .3


Stocks	σ	% of Portfolio	Avg Return
Portfolio	28.1	50%	17.4%
New Corp	30	50%	19%


NEW standard deviation = weighted avg = 31.80 %
 NEW standard deviation = portfolio = 23.43 %
 NEW return = weighted avg = portfolio = 18.20%


NOTE: Higher return & lower risk
 How did we do that? DIVERSIFICATION



































Capital Asset Pricing Model

8-29

$$r = r_f + \beta(r_m - r_f)$$

CAPM

Expected Returns

8-30


These estimates of the returns expected by investors in November 2014 were based on the capital asset pricing model. We assumed 2% for the interest rate r_f and 7% for the expected risk premium $r_m - r_f$.

Stock	Beta (β)	Expected Return $r_f + \beta(r_m - r_f)$
Caterpillar	1.66	13.6
Dow Chemical	1.65	13.5
Ford	1.44	12.1
Microsoft	0.98	8.9
Apple	0.91	8.4
Johnson & Johnson	0.53	5.7
Walmart	0.45	5.2
Campbell Soup	0.39	4.7
Consolidated Edison	0.17	3.2
Newmont	0	2.0

SML Equilibrium

8-31


In equilibrium no stock can lie below the security market line. For example, instead of buying stock A, investors would prefer to lend part of their money and put the balance in the market portfolio. And instead of buying stock B, they would prefer to borrow and invest in the market portfolio.



Testing the CAPM

8-32


Beta vs. Average Risk Premium




Testing the CAPM

8-33

Beta vs. Average Risk Premium



Testing the CAPM



http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french/data_library.html

Arbitrage Pricing Theory

Alternative to CAPM

$$\text{Return} = a + b_1(r_{\text{factor1}}) + b_2(r_{\text{factor2}}) + b_3(r_{\text{factor3}}) + \dots + \text{noise}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Expected risk premium} &= r - r_f \\ &= b_1(r_{\text{factor1}} - r_f) + b_2(r_{\text{factor2}} - r_f) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Arbitrage Pricing Theory

Estimated risk premiums for taking on risk factors (1978-1990)

Factor	Estimated Risk Premium ($r_{\text{factor}} - r_f$)
Yield spread	5.10%
Interest rate	-.61
Exchange rate	-.59
Real GNP	.49
Inflation	-.83
Market	6.36

Three Factor Model

8-37

Steps to Identify Factors

1. Identify a reasonably short list of macroeconomic factors that could affect stock returns
2. Estimate the expected risk premium on each of these factors ($r_{\text{factor } 1} - r_f$, etc.)
3. Measure the sensitivity of each stock to the factors (b_1, b_2 , etc.)

Three Factor Model

8-38

	Three-Factor Model			CAPM	
	b_{market}	b_{size}	$b_{\text{book-to-market}}$	Expected return ^a	Expected return ^b
Autos	1.37	0.62	-0.07	13.4%	12.7%
Banks	1.12	0.02	0.74	13.5	10.6
Chemicals	1.35	0.05	-0.19	10.7	11.3
Computers	1.17	-0.10	-0.33	8.3	9.7
Construction	1.13	0.82	0.57	15.5	12.1
Food	0.52	-0.15	0.00	5.1	5.4
Oil and gas	1.21	-0.20	0.02	9.9	10.1
Pharmaceuticals	0.77	-0.27	-0.31	5.0	4.9
Telecoms	0.87	-0.08	0.04	8.0	8.0
Utilities	0.48	-0.16	0.08	5.2	5.2
