

**5 POINTS**Outline of Solutions

Problem 1: Consider the SDE  $dr_t = \mu(t, r_t) dt + \sigma(t, r_t) dW_t$ ,

where  $W_t$  is a Brownian motion under a risk-neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Suppose that the bond price  $B(t, T)$  has the exponential affine form

$$B(t, T) = \exp(A(t, T) - C(t, T)r_t).$$

**NOTE:**

Students  
need to  
provide  
detailed  
calculations  
or reference  
properly  
relevant  
lecture items.

By the application of Ito's lemma (note that this was done in the lecture when we discussed the Hull-White model), we have

$$\begin{aligned} dB(t, T) &= B(t, T) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} r_t - C_{\mu}(t, r_t) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} C_{\sigma^2}(t, r_t) \right) dt - C_{\sigma}(t, r_t) dW_t \right] \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

1.5

But, we also know that under a risk-neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  (again, from the lecture), the bond price has dynamics

$$0.5 \quad dB(t, T) = B(t, T) [r_t dt + \xi(t, T, r_t) dW_t] \quad (2)$$

where  $\xi(t, T, r_t)$  is the volatility of  $B(t, T)$ .

This equality comes from the requirement that all tradable assets must have expected growth at the risk-free rate under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $r_t = r$  and write

$$h(t, r) := \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} r - C_{\mu}(t, r) + \frac{1}{2} C_{\sigma^2}(t, r) - r.$$

By matching drifts of (1) and (2), we

see that  $h(t, r) = 0 \forall t$  and  $r$ .

We differentiate  $h(t, r)$  w.r.t.  $r$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial r^2} = -C(t, T) \frac{\partial^2 \mu(t, r)}{\partial r^2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} C(t, T)^2 \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma(t, r)^2)}{\partial r^2} = 0$$

(1.5)

Consequently,

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \mu(t, r)}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{2} C(t, T) \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma(t, r)^2)}{\partial r^2} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Since  $C(t, T)$  is a function of  $T$  as well as  $t$ , identity in equation (3) can only hold if both

$$(0.5) \quad \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma(t, r)^2)}{\partial r^2} = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 \mu(t, r)}{\partial r^2} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Clearly, from (4) both  $\sigma(t, r)^2$  and  $\mu(t, r)$  must be affine in  $r$ . This

means that there are some  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  s.t.  $\mu(r_t, t) = \alpha(t) + \beta(t)r_t$  and there are some  $\delta(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$  s.t.

$$\sigma^2(r_t, t) = \delta(t)r_t + \gamma(t) \quad \text{or}$$

$$\sigma(r_t, t) = \sqrt{\delta(t)r_t + \gamma(t)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

(1)

### Problem #2:

Ho Lee model:  $dr_t = \theta dt + \sigma dW_t$ .

Simulate  $r$  over  $[0, T]$ , with  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ .

Using the Euler's Scheme, we have the discretized version

$$r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} = \theta(t_{i+1} - t_i) + \sigma \sqrt{t_{i+1} - t_i} \xi_{t_{i+1}},$$

(1) Let  $\xi_{t_{i+1}} \sim N(0, 1)$  and independent RVs.

$$\Delta t = t_{i+1} - t_i = \text{constant}.$$

So,

$$r_{t+\Delta t} = r_t + \theta \Delta t + \sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} \xi_t \sim N(r_t + \theta \Delta t, \sigma^2 \Delta t),$$

where  $\xi_t \sim N(0, 1)$ .

Suppose  $\theta = (\theta, \sigma)$  is the parameter set that determines the model.

The likelihood function  $L(\theta)$  is

$$L(\theta) = (2\pi \sigma^2 \Delta t)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(r_{t_{i+1}} - (r_{t_i} + \theta \Delta t))^2}{\sigma^2 \Delta t}\right).$$

Set  $\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0$  to solve for  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$ .

0.5

$$\ln L(\theta) = -\frac{N}{2} \ln (2\pi \Delta t) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{r_{t_{i+1}} - (r_{t_i} + \theta \Delta t)}{\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}} \right)^2$$

$$= -\frac{N}{2} \ln (2\pi \Delta t) - N \ln \sigma$$

$$- \frac{1}{2 \sigma^2 \Delta t} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} - \theta \Delta t)^2.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (-2\Delta t) (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} - \theta \Delta t) \\ = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} - \theta \Delta t) = 0, \quad (1) \end{array} \right.$$

(0.5)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \sigma} = -N + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} - \theta \Delta t)^2 = 0 \quad (2) \end{array} \right.$$

From equation (1), we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i}) = N \theta \Delta t \quad (3)$$

(0.5) and from (2), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} - \theta \Delta t)^2 = N \sigma^2 \Delta t \quad (4)$$

Solving (3)-(4) gives

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i}) = \frac{r_T - r_0}{T} \quad \because t_0 = 0 \text{ and } t_N = T$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} - \theta \Delta t)^2}$$

$$(0.75) \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (r_{t_{i+1}} - r_{t_i} - \frac{r_T - r_0}{T})^2}$$

Note:  
 $\frac{T}{N} = \Delta t$

## Problem #3

3  
PTS

(b)  $\sigma(t, T) = \nu e^{-S(T-t)}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ . The corresponding HJM equation is  $df(t, T) = \nu e^{-S(T-t)} dW_t + \left( \nu e^{-S(T-t)} \int_t^T \nu e^{-S(T-u)} du \right) dt$

$$= \nu e^{-S(T-t)} dW_t + \frac{\nu}{S} \left( 1 - e^{-S(T-t)} \right) dt$$

$$= \nu e^{-S(T-t)} dW_t + \frac{\nu^2}{S} \left( e^{-S(T-t)} - e^{-2S(T-t)} \right) dt$$

0.75

$$\therefore f(t, T) = f(0, T) + \nu \int_0^t e^{-S(T-u)} dW_u + \frac{\nu^2}{2S} \left[ (1 - e^{-ST})^2 - (1 - e^{-S(T-t)})^2 \right]$$

Using the fact that  $r_t = -f(t, t)$ , we have

0.75  $r_t = f(0, t) + \underbrace{\left\{ \nu \int_0^t e^{-S(t-u)} dW_u \right\}}_{Y_t} + \frac{\nu^2}{2S} (1 - e^{-St})^2. \quad (A)$

Consider  $Y_t := \nu \int_0^t e^{-S(t-u)} dW_u$

$$= (\nu e^{-St}) \left( \int_0^t e^{Su} dW_u \right).$$

0.75 Hence,  $dY_t = \nu dW_t - \nu S \int_0^t e^{-S(t-u)} dW_u dt$   
by the Chain Rule /

OR  $\boxed{dY_t = \nu dW_t - SY_t dt}$  Ito's lemma

Also, from (A),

$$Y_t = r_t - f(0, t) - \frac{\nu^2}{2S} (1 - e^{-St})^2. \quad (C)$$

Using (A), (B) and (C), we get

$$dr_t = \left( \frac{\partial f(0,t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{T=t} \right) dt$$

$$+ \sigma dW_t - S T_t dt$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma^2}{S} e^{-St} (1 - e^{-St}) dt.$$

(0.5) So,  $dr_t = S (\beta(t) - r_t) dt + \sigma dW_t$ , (D)

where  $\beta(t) = f(0,t) + \frac{1}{S} \frac{\partial f(0,t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{T=t}$

$$+ \frac{\sigma^2}{2S^2} (1 - e^{-2St}).$$

=

Clearly, the specification in (D) is the Hull-White model for the short-rate process  $r_t$ .

- (1 PTS) (a) The choice of  $\sigma(r_t, T) = \sigma e^{-S(T-t)}$  is due to empirical evidence that the forward rate volatility has an exponentially decaying behaviour versus maturity  $(T-t)$ . (0.5)

### Problem 4:

4PTS

The value of a consol  $G_t$  is given by

$$\textcircled{1} \quad G(t) = \int_t^\infty c \cdot B(t, s) ds. \quad \text{We employ the Leibniz rule for}$$

differentiation to obtain  $dG(t)$ .

$$\text{Recall } \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(x, y) dy \right] = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) dy + f(x, b(x)) \frac{d b(x)}{dx} - f(x, a(x)) \frac{d a(x)}{dx}.$$

In our case,  $a(t) = t$  and  $b(t) = \infty$ .

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \frac{dG(t)}{dt} = c \left( \int_t^\infty \frac{d}{dt} B(t, s) ds + 0 - B(t, t) \right) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{Risk-neutral} \\ \text{dynamics of bond} \\ \text{price.} \end{matrix}$$

$$dG(t) = c \left( \int_t^\infty dB(t, s) ds - dt \right). \quad \begin{matrix} \text{Given:} \\ dB(t, s) = B(t, s) r_t dt \\ + B(t, s) \sigma(t, s) dW_t \end{matrix}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad = c \int_t^\infty [B(t, s) r_t dt + B(t, s) \sigma(t, s) dW_t] ds$$

$-dt$ .

Hence, the volatility component of  $G(t)$  is given by

$$\textcircled{1} \quad c \int_t^\infty B(t, s) \sigma(t, s) ds.$$