

SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT #1

WINTER 2014

Problems Required for Submission

3 POINTS

REQUIRED PROBLEM #1: $X_t = \sqrt{t} Z$ starts at 0 with value 0, and it is a continuous-time process as t is a continuous function.

We consider the increment $X_{t+s} - X_s$, for some $s > 0$.

$$X_{t+s} - X_s = \sqrt{t+s} Z - \sqrt{s} Z = (\sqrt{t+s} - \sqrt{s}) Z$$

$E[X_{t+s} - X_s] = 0$. The variance is given by

$$\text{Var}[X_{t+s} - X_s] = (\sqrt{t+s} - \sqrt{s})^2 \text{Var}[Z]$$

$$= (t+s) - 2\sqrt{(t+s)s} + s$$

$$= t - 2\sqrt{s^2(t/s + 1)} + 2s = t - 2s\sqrt{t/s + 1} + 2s,$$

which is not t .

Therefore, $X_{t+s} - X_s$ is normal but does not have the correct distribution for it to be a BM.

The result for the variance demonstrates that (0.5) it is not stationary (variance not a function of the time increment t) and not independent of s (given that the dist of $X_{t+s} - X_s$ has a variance containing s). (0.5)

3 POINTS

REQUIRED PROBLEM #2: If W_t^2 is a martingale then $E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = W_s^2$ for a BM W_t defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, P)$.

We claim that $E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] > W_s^2$. Hence, W_t^2 is not a martingale.

Proof of Claim: Consider $E[W_t^2 - W_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s]$, for $0 < s < t$.
 $W_t^2 - W_s^2 = (W_t - W_s)^2 + 2W_s(W_t - W_s)$. Thus,

1.5

$$E[(W_t^2 - W_s^2) | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[(W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] + 2E[W_s(W_t - W_s) | \mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= (t - s) + 2(0) \text{ because } W_s \text{ and } W_t - W_s \text{ are independent}$$

$$= t - s.$$

We showed that $E[W_t^2 - W_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = t - s$, which implies that

$$E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = W_s^2 + \underbrace{(t - s)}_{> 0}$$

Clearly,

0.5

$$E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] > W_s^2, \text{ and}$$

Since $t > s$
 from the assumption above.

W_t^2 is an increasing process on average. (Such process is called a submartingale.)

W_t^2 is NOT a martingale.

1

But, the relation $E[W_t^2 - W_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = t - s$ is equivalent to $E[W_t^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s] = W_s^2 - s$.

So, the process $W_t^2 - t$ is a martingale.

Clearly, $-t$ is the adjustment term need so that the martingale condition is satisfied.

REQUIRED PROBLEM #3

2 POINTS

(a) $S_T = \mu T + \sigma dW_t$. This means

$$S_T = S_0 + \mu T + \sigma W_T \sim N(S_0 + \mu T, \sigma^2 T).$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad P(S_T < 0) = P\left(\frac{S_T - (S_0 + \mu T)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} < \frac{-S_0 - \mu T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad = P\left(Z < \frac{-S_0 - \mu T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right), \text{ which is positive for constants } S_0, \mu \text{ and } \sigma > 0, Z \sim N(0, 1).$$

4 POINTS

(b) Consider $f(t, y) = \sinh(c + t + y)$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \cosh(c + t + y), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \cosh(c + t + y) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = \sinh(c + t + y) \end{array} \right.$$

$$dX_t = df(t, W_t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} (dW_t)^2$$

$$= \cosh(c + t + W_t) dt + \cosh(c + t + W_t) dW_t$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sinh(c + t + W_t) dt$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad = \left(\cosh(c + t + W_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sinh(c + t + W_t) \right) dt + \cosh(c + t + W_t) dW_t.$$

Using the identity that

$$\cosh^2 \theta - \sinh^2 \theta = 1, \text{ i.e., } \cosh \theta = \sqrt{1 + \sinh^2 \theta},$$

we have

$$\textcircled{1} \quad dX_t = \left(\sqrt{1 + \sinh^2(c + t + W_t)} + \frac{1}{2} \sinh(c + t + W_t) \right) dt + \sqrt{1 + \sinh^2(c + t + W_t)} dW_t$$

$$= \left(\sqrt{1 + X_t^2} + \frac{1}{2} X_t \right) dt + \sqrt{1 + X_t^2} dW_t$$

If $X_0 = 0$, we have

$$X_0 = \sinh(c + 0 + \overset{0}{W_0}) = \sinh c$$

$\Rightarrow c = \sinh^{-1}(0)$; and wish a numerical value.

But note that $\sinh = \frac{e^c - e^{-c}}{2} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow e^c = e^{-c} \Rightarrow e^{2c} = e^0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0.$$

REQUIRED PROBLEM #4:

4 POINTS

$$\textcircled{a} \quad P(e^X > c) = P(X > \ln c)$$

$$\textcircled{1} = P\left(\frac{X - m}{\sigma} > \frac{\ln c - m}{\sigma}\right) = P\left(Z > \frac{\ln c - m}{\sigma}, Z \sim N(0, 1)\right)$$

$$\textcircled{1} = P\left(Z < -\frac{\ln c - m}{\sigma}\right) \text{ since } P(Z > a) = P(Z < -a)$$

$$\textcircled{1} = P\left(Z \leq \frac{m - \ln c}{\sigma}\right)$$



Therefore, $P(e^X > c) = P\left(Z \leq \frac{m - \ln c}{\sigma}\right)$ -5-

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{m - \ln c}{\sigma}\right). \quad (1)$$

4 POINTS

$$(b) E[e^X \cdot I_{\{X > c\}}] = \int_{\{x: x > c\}} \frac{e^x \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} dx$$

(1) Let $y = \frac{x-m}{\sigma} \Rightarrow dy = \frac{dx}{\sigma}$.

$$E[e^X \cdot I_{\{X > c\}}] = \int_{\{y: y > \frac{c-m}{\sigma}\}} \frac{e^{\sigma y + m} \cdot e^{-y^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dy$$

The term $\sigma y + m - y^2/2 = -\frac{1}{2}(y-\sigma)^2 + m + \sigma^2/2$

(1)
$$E[e^X \cdot I_{\{X > c\}}] = e^{m + \sigma^2/2} \int_{\frac{c-m}{\sigma}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-1/2(y-\sigma)^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dy.$$

Perform another change of variable by letting $z = y - \sigma$, $dz = dy$.

(1)
$$E[e^X \cdot I_{\{X > c\}}] = e^{m + \sigma^2/2} \int_{\frac{c-m-\sigma^2}{\sigma}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-1/2 z^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz$$

$$= e^{m + \sigma^2/2} \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{(c-m-\sigma^2)}{\sigma}} \frac{e^{-1/2 z^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz, \text{ using symmetry of the normal density}$$

(1)
$$= e^{m + \sigma^2/2} \Phi\left(\frac{m + \sigma^2 - c}{\sigma}\right).$$