

## SS4521G - 20–24 January 2014

### SUMMARY OF IMPORTANT POINTS DISCUSSED IN THE LECTURE

The following concepts/theories were covered/reviewed in the context of stock price processes evolving on a two-period binomial tree model in discrete time:

1. Itô's lemma was derived on the basis of Taylor series expansion of a function. All higher-order terms after the second-order turn out to go to zero.

In particular, this lemma states the following: Suppose  $X_t$  is a stochastic process whose dynamics is given by the SDE  $dX_t = \mu(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(X_t, t)dW_t$ . If  $f \in C^{2,1}$ , then  $G_t := f(X_t, t)$  is also a stochastic process with dynamics given by

$$dG_t = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu(X_t, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \sigma(X_t, t)^2 \right) dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t.$$

**We spent a considerable amount of time discussing some examples in the lecture to illustrate the above formula within the context of financial pricing. You should review these examples.**

2. PDE approach to pricing derivative security: Let  $f(S_t, t)$  be a price of a derivative whose underlying follows the dynamics of a geometric Brownian motion (i.e.,  $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t$ ). We consider a riskless portfolio that enables us to find the value of the derivative. In particular, the holder of the portfolio is:
  - short one derivative security and
  - long an amount of  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial S}$  number of shares.

With the aid of this riskless portfolio, we showed that  $f$  satisfies the partial differential equation (PDE)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} = rf.$$

Note that  $\mu$  vanishes and only  $r$  and  $\sigma^2$  appear in the pricing PDE. If the terminal/boundary condition is  $f = (K - S_T)^+$  then  $f$  gives the price of a European put option.

3. Feynman-Kac's Results (presented in class without proof): If, for instance,  $f$  is a solution to the boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(z, t) + r(z, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(z, t) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}(z, t) = r(z, t)f(z, t), \quad f(z, T) = \Phi(z),$$

then  $f$  has the probabilistic solution  $f(z, t) = E[e^{-r(T-t)}\Phi(Z_T)|\mathcal{F}_t]$  with  $r(z, t) = r$ .

4. Change of measure in risk-neutral pricing: One can change measure from  $P$  to  $Q$  such that

$$P: \quad dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t$$

$$Q: \quad dS_t = r S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t^Q,$$

where  $W_t^Q$  is a  $Q$ -Brownian motion and  $dW_t^Q = dW_t + \gamma_t dt$ . In the Black-Scholes model,  $\gamma_t = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$ .

This is justified by the Cameron-Girsanov-Martin Theorem, which will be discussed in the succeeding lectures.

5. We derived the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula. Starting with  $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma dW_t$  under the measure  $P$ , we have to change measure such that under the new measure  $Q$  (called risk-neutral measure),

$$dS_t = r S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t^Q. \tag{1}$$

Note that from the Feynman-Kac's theorem, the PDE satisfied by the derivative price does not involve  $\mu$  but only  $r$ . This supports why we have the dynamics of the underlying variable in (1).

We wish to find the price  $f(S_t, t)$  of a European call option. This price is given by the conditional expected value, under the measure  $Q$ , of the discounted pay-off, which is  $\max(S_T - X, 0)$ . Here,  $X$  denotes the strike price in the option contract. All expectations that follow below are assumed to be taken under  $Q$ . Assuming  $r_u = r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(S_t, t) &= E^Q \left[ \exp \left( - \int_t^T r_u du \right) c(S_T, T) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= E_t \left[ e^{-r(T-t)} \max(S_T - X, 0) \right] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_t \left[ (S_T - X) I_{\{S_T \geq X\}} \right] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_t \left[ S_T I_{\{S_T \geq X\}} - X I_{\{S_T \geq X\}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that

$$S_T = S_t \exp \left[ \left( r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (W_T - W_t) \right].$$

One may verify this by applying Itô's lemma to obtain (1).

So, if  $Y := \left( r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (W_T - W_t)$  then  $S_T = S_t \exp Y$  and  $Y \sim N \left( \left( r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t), \sigma^2 (T - t) \right)$ .

With the aid of  $Y$ , expression in (2) can be simplified. Observe that  $S_T \geq X \iff S_t \exp Y \geq X \iff Y \geq \ln \frac{X}{S_t} = - \ln \frac{S_t}{X}$ .

Consequently, the first term of the conditional expectation in (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_t E_t \left[ \exp Y I_{\{Y \geq - \ln \frac{S_t}{X}\}} \right] &= S_t \int_{- \ln \frac{S_t}{X}}^{\infty} e^Y f_Y(y) dy \\ &= S_t e^{r(T-t)} \Phi(d_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $d_1 = \frac{\ln \frac{S_t}{X} + \left( r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T - t}}$ .

On the other hand, the second term of the conditional expectation in (2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} XP(S_T \geq K) &= KP\left(Y \geq -\ln \frac{S_t}{K}\right) = K \int_{-\ln \frac{S_t}{K}}^{\infty} f_Y(y) dy \\ &= K\Phi(d_2) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where } d_2 = \frac{\ln \frac{S_t}{K} + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T-t}.$$

Plugging-in the results from equations (3) and (4) into equation (2), we have

$$f(S_t, t) = S_t\Phi(d_1) - Xe^{-r(T-t)}\Phi(d_2).$$

6. Equations (3) and (4) are justified by the two lemmas given below whose proofs are part of the required problems for submission in Assignment #1.

$$\text{Let } Z \sim N(m, \sigma^2) \text{ and } \Phi(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$$

$$\mathbf{Lemma 1: } P(e^Z > u) = \Phi\left(\frac{m - \ln u}{\sigma}\right).$$

$$\mathbf{Lemma 2: } E[e^Z I_{\{Z > u\}}] = e^{m + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \Phi\left(\frac{m + \sigma^2 - u}{\sigma}\right) \text{ where } I \text{ is an indicator function.}$$

7. To find the corresponding European put price  $p_t$ , we simply use the put-call parity given by the relation  $p_t + S_t = c_t + Xe^{-r(T-t)}$ , where  $X$  is the strike price,  $c_t$  is the European call price and  $S_t$  is the share price at time  $t$ , and  $T > t$  is the maturity date. The put-call parity was covered in SS3520.